

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/726,779	11/29/2000	Chris Cifra	5150-43700	1986
75	90 01/23/2004		EXAMI	NER
Jeffrey C. Hood			PILLAI, NAMITHA	
Conley, Rose &	Tayon, P.C.			÷
P. O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2173	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

,•			$/U_{l}$				
,	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/726,779	CIFRA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Namitha Pillai	2173				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 171	November 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-27,29-40 and 42-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14, 16-27, 29-40 and 42-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
-	on Papers	•					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) a) [* S 13) A si 3: 3: a 14) A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority do	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (arst sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-14, 16-18, 20-27, 29-31, 33-40 and 42-45 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipate by U. S. Patent No. 6, 298, 474 B1 (Blowers et al.).

Referring to claims 1 and 29, Blowers discloses a method for generating a computer program by receiving user input specifying a prototype, wherein the prototype comprises a series of functional operations, wherein at least one of the operations has an associated one or more parameters (column 1, lines 47-55). Blowers discloses automatically generating the program that implements the prototype, in response to the specified prototype, wherein comprising automatically generating a graphical user interface for the program (column 3, lines 15-20). Blowers also discloses that the graphical user interface comprises creating user interface controls associated with the one or more parameters (column 3, lines 1-5 and 24-30).

Referring to claims 3, 16, 29 and 42, Blowers discloses generating the program comprises automatically generating code for the program without direct user input (column 3, lines 40-44).

Referring to claims 4, 17 and 30, Blowers discloses at least one of the operations has an associated input parameter (column 3, lines 28-34), wherein the graphical user interface

Art Unit: 2173

comprises a user interface control for interactively providing program input specifying a value for the input parameter, as seen in Figure 7 (column 9, lines 7-9).

Referring to claims 5, 18 and 31, Blowers discloses at least one of the operations has an associated output parameter, wherein generating the graphical user interface comprises creating a user interface control for viewing program output indicating a value for the output parameter (column 3, lines 45-55).

Referring to claims 7, 20 and 33, as seen in by the code formed in text forms in within the graphical representation of Figure 6, the generated program is text-based.

Referring to claims 8, 21, 34 and 44, as seen in Figure 6, the generated program is a graphical program.

Referring to claims 9, 22 and 35, Blowers discloses receiving user input specifying a prototype is performed by a prototyping application, as seen in Figure 7, wherein a specific prototyping application is used to configure the user input to applied to the program (column 9, lines 7-9), wherein the prototyping application interfaces with a programming environment application in order to perform the generation of the program (column 3, lines 40-44).

Referring to claims 10, 23 and 36, Blowers discloses determining the data type of the parameters and creating user interface controls associated with the parameters comprising creating a user interface control according to the data type of the parameters, as seen in Figure 6, wherein the "Blob", "Acquire" are examples of controls wherein the control expresses an association based on the types of data that is being accessed.

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 11 and 37, Blowers discloses the prototype specifying an image-processing algorithm, with the generated program implementing this image-processing algorithm (column 2, lines 50-52).

Referring to claims 12, 25 and 38, Blowers discloses that the graphical user interface includes a user interface control for providing input parameter values affecting the image processing algorithm, as seen by the "Blob" control in Figure 6, and the input of parameters as shown in Figure 7.

Referring to claims 13, 26 and 39, Blowers discloses the graphical user interface including user interface controls for viewing output parameter values determined by the image processing algorithm, as seen by the image shown in Figure 7 (column 3, lines 45-55).

Referring to claim 14, Blowers discloses a method for generating a computer program by receiving user input specifying a prototype through a prototyping environment application, wherein the prototype comprises a series of functional operations, wherein at least one of the operations has an associated one or more parameters, as seen in specifying a prototype in Figure 7 (column 2, lines 47-55 and column 9, lines 7-9). Blowers discloses the prototyping environment operable, the association caused by the specifying of the prototype by the user in the prototyping environment, wherein these parameters would be used to automatically generating the program that implements the prototype, in response to the specified prototype (column 3, lines 40-44), wherein comprising automatically generating a graphical user interface for the program (column 3, lines 15-20). Blowers also discloses that the graphical user interface comprises creating user interface controls associated with the one or more parameters (column 3, lines 1-5 and 24-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,779 Page 5

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 24, Blowers discloses that the prototyping environment application is an image processing prototype environment application, as is seen in Figure 7 (column 11, lines 22-27). Blowers discloses the prototype specifying an image-processing algorithm, with the generated program implementing this image-processing algorithm (column 2, lines 50-52).

Referring to claim 40, Blowers discloses a method for automatically generating a computer program by receiving a program information specifying functionality of the computer program (column 1, lines 47-55). Blowers discloses automatically generating the program that implements the specified functionality, in response to the program information, wherein comprising automatically generating a graphical user interface for the program (column 3, lines 15-20). Blowers also discloses that the graphical user interface comprises creating user interface controls for providing input to and/or viewing output from the program (column 3, lines 1-5 and 24-30).

Referring to claim 43, Blowers discloses as seen by the controls "Blob", "Acquire" on Figure 6, wherein the user interface controls of the program corresponds to the parameters specified by the program information.

Referring to claim 45, Blowers discloses, as seen in Figure 6, the received program specifying a prototype, and the "if-then-else" statement specifically represent a test executive sequence and a state diagram, wherein the sequence is based on the current state of a distinct variable, as is a "if-then-else" statement is used for.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2173

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

2. Claims 6, 19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blowers.

Referring to claims 6, 19 and 32, Blowers discloses that a plurality of parameters are associated with the functional operations, wherein receiving user input specifying which of the plurality of parameters are desired to have associated user interface controls (column 3, lines 28-31). Blowers also discloses that the generated graphical user interface comprises creating user interface controls associated with each specified parameter (column 3, lines 31-35). Blowers does not explicitly disclose not creating user interface controls associated with unspecified parameters but Blowers only discusses displaying the desired user interface controls, which represent the parameters. It would have been obvious that the undesired and those not chosen by Blowers would not have user interface controls associated with any parameters, for reasons that they need not be displayed. Blowers may not explicitly disclose that unnecessary user interface controls will not be generated with the unspecified parameters. But based on the fact, that an undesired parameter would not be useful to the user and need not be displayed to the user, makes it obvious that such unnecessary components would not be created. Hence, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to not create user interface controls associated with unspecified parameters, which need not be displayed.

Response to Claim Changes

Art Unit: 2173

3. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant's canceling of claims 2, 15, 28 and 41. Claims 1, 3-14, 16-27, 29-40 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102 (e) as being previously disclosed in prior art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/17/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Blowers does not discloses automatically generating the program by automatically generating a graphical user interface for the program, by generating the graphical user interface comprising creating user interface controls associated with one or more parameters. Blowers discloses generating and displaying a graphical control flow structure, wherein this structure clearly represents a program and hence the generation of this flow structure to be displayed does disclose automatically generating a graphical user interface for the program (column 3, lines 15-16), wherein the generation of the graphic tree structure of the program is done automatically by the system, wherein linking and creation of the code is done without user participation and hence automatically (column 3, lines 33-44).

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Blowers does not disclose using controls to receive user input and output data. Blowers goes further in disclosing controls as displayed in Figure 6, wherein these controls are the icons that allow the users to manipulate the data, wherein these icons can receive user and output data as seen by the functions "Acquire", "Alignment", wherein these functions would receive inputs and create outputs to be carried onto the next program or function as their input.

Art Unit: 2173

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Blowers does not teach programmatic generation of the graphical user interface by creating user interface controls associated with various parameters. Blowers clearly disclose how user interface controls represented as "folders" that can be manipulated by a user to represent various parameters, wherein these parameters determine the functionality of the programs that are generated in the graphical user interface, wherein as seen in Figures 4 and 6, there are user interface controls that represent parameters and the program represented in Figure 6 graphically and the functionality of this program is based on these various parameters (column 10, lines 30-37).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, central FAX number (703) 872-9306 may be used. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed. Please

Art Unit: 2173

label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final

responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7691. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly

set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and

Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai

Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 2173

January 21, 2004

JOHN CABECA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CEUTER 2100